

ON TORSION-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS AND LIE ALGEBRAS

RICHARD BLOCK

It is known that many of the classes of simple Lie algebras of prime characteristic of nonclassical type have simple infinite-dimensional analogues of characteristic zero (see, for example, [4, p. 518]). We consider here analogues of those algebras which are defined by a modification of the definition of a group algebra. Thus we consider analogues of the Zassenhaus algebras as generalized by Albert and Frank in [1].

The algebras considered are defined as follows. Let G be a nonzero abelian group, F a field, g an additive mapping from G to F , and f an alternate biadditive mapping from $G \times G$ to F . We index a basis of an algebra over F by G , denoting by u_α the basis element corresponding to α in G , and define multiplication by

$$(1) \quad u_\alpha u_\beta = \{f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta)\} u_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

We designate this algebra by $L(G, g, f)$. We shall determine necessary and sufficient conditions on f and g for the algebra $L(G, g, f)$ to be a simple Lie algebra. We shall then consider the case in which $L(G, g, f)$ is a simple Lie algebra of characteristic zero. This will be seen to imply that G is torsion-free. The derivations and locally algebraic derivations of $L(G, g, f)$ will be determined in this case. Using these, we shall show that any one of these simple Lie algebras $L(G, g, f)$ of characteristic zero determines the group G up to isomorphism and determines the mappings g and f up to a scalar multiple.

Our proof of the simplicity of $L(G, g, f)$ and determination of the derivations of $L(G, g, f)$ are also valid when F has prime characteristic p and G is an elementary abelian p -group. However in that case our method for showing that $L(G, g, f)$ essentially determines G , g and f cannot be used—indeed, Ree showed in [4] that all Zassenhaus algebras of dimension p^n over F are isomorphic.

When the torsion-free abelian group G has rank one, the simple algebra $L(G, g, f)$ over F , of characteristic zero, is isomorphic to the algebra of derivations of the group algebra of G over F . Thus the group algebra of a torsion-free abelian group of rank one determines the group. However this is a special case of a result that follows from Higman's determination of the units of group algebras in [2].

Presented to the Society January 29, 1958, under the title of *Relations between torsion-free abelian groups and certain Lie algebras*; received by the editors March 10, 1958.

2. **Simplicity.** It was shown by Albert and Frank in [1, p. 132] that when $g(\alpha) = 0$ for all α in G then the algebra $L(G, g, f)$ is a Lie algebra and contains the one-dimensional ideal spanned by u_0 , and that when g does not vanish identically then $L(G, g, f)$ is a Lie algebra if and only if there is an (additive) mapping h on G to F such that

$$(2) \quad f(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha)h(\beta) - g(\beta)h(\alpha)$$

for all α and β in G .

Now suppose that g does not vanish identically and that an h is given such that (2) holds. We seek to determine conditions under which $L(G, g, f)$ will be simple. If $g(\gamma) = h(\gamma) = 0$ for some nonzero γ then in case γ has finite order q , all elements of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} u_{\alpha+i\gamma}$$

span a proper ideal, while if γ has infinite order, all elements of the form $u_\alpha + u_{\alpha+\gamma}$ span a proper ideal. Also if there is an element δ in G such that $g(\delta) = 0$ and $h(\delta) = 2$ (in particular for characteristic two, if $\delta = 0$) then all u_α with $\alpha \neq -\delta$ span a proper ideal of $L(G, g, f)$.

We therefore suppose that there is no nonzero δ in G such that $g(\delta) = 0$ and $h(\delta) = 0$ or 2 , and that the characteristic is not two. Thus there is no δ in G such that $g(\delta) = 0$ and $h(\delta) = \pm 1$. Also, by (2), either the kernel of g is zero or f is nonsingular.

The length $\lambda(x)$ of an element $x = \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta}u_{\beta}$ of $L(G, g, f)$ denotes the number of nonzero coefficients a_{β} in x , and β is said to be x -admissible if $a_{\beta} \neq 0$.

Now, under the above conditions, let M be a nonzero ideal of $L(G, g, f)$, x a nonzero element of M of minimal length, and suppose $\lambda(x) > 1$. If $g(\alpha) = 0$ for some x -admissible α , then with γ such that $g(\gamma) \neq 0$, we have $\lambda(xu_{\gamma}) \leq \lambda(x)$, $f(\alpha, \gamma) + g(\alpha - \gamma) = -g(\gamma)[h(\alpha) + 1] \neq 0$ and $\alpha + \gamma$ is (xu_{γ}) -admissible. Hence we may assume that $g(\beta) \neq 0$ for some x -admissible β . Now if $g(\alpha) = 0$ for some x -admissible α then $xu_{\alpha} \neq 0$ since $f(\beta, \alpha) + g(\beta - \alpha) = g(\beta)[h(\alpha) + 1] \neq 0$, but $\lambda(xu_{\alpha}) < \lambda(x)$, a contradiction. Hence for every x -admissible β , $g(\beta) \neq 0$. Take an x -admissible α and let $y = xu_{-\alpha}$. Then 0 is y -admissible since $f(\alpha, -\alpha) + g(\alpha) - g(-\alpha) = 2g(\alpha) \neq 0$, so $y \neq 0$ and $\lambda(y) = \lambda(x)$. Thus $\beta - \alpha$ is y -admissible for every x -admissible β , and since 0 is y -admissible, $g(\beta - \alpha) = 0$ for every x -admissible β . Now if α and β are x -admissible and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then since $\lambda(xu_{\beta}) < \lambda(x)$, we have $xu_{\beta} = 0$, $0 = f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta) = g(\alpha)[h(\beta - \alpha)]$, so $g(\alpha - \beta) = h(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ and $\alpha = \beta$, a contradiction.

Hence $\lambda(x) = 1$ and M contains some u_{α} . If $g(\alpha) = 0$ then for β such

that $g(\beta) \neq 0$, M contains $u_\alpha u_\beta = -g(\beta)[h(\alpha) + 1]u_{\alpha+\beta} \neq 0$, so M contains a u_γ with $g(\gamma) \neq 0$. Now if β is such that $g(\beta) = 0$ then M contains u_β , since $u_\gamma u_{-\gamma+\beta} = g(\gamma)[h(\beta) + 2]u_\beta \neq 0$. In particular M contains u_0 and so also any u_α with $g(\alpha) \neq 0$, since $u_0 u_\alpha = -g(\alpha)u_\alpha \neq 0$. Therefore $M = L$, and we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *The algebra $L(G, g, f)$ defined by (1) is a simple Lie algebra if and only if the characteristic is not two, g does not vanish identically and there is an additive mapping h from G to F for which (2) holds and for which there is no nonzero δ in G with $g(\delta) = 0$ and $h(\delta) = 0$ or 2.*

From the conditions of this theorem on the mappings g and h , the following result may be proved easily.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that F is a field of characteristic 0, of degree d (finite or infinite) over the rationals. Then if $L(G, g, f)$ is a simple Lie algebra over F , the group G must be torsion-free. Let G be a given torsion-free abelian group and let r be the rank (the maximum number of linearly independent elements) of G . Then there exists a simple Lie algebra $L(G, g, f)$ over F if and only if $2d \geq r$, when G is not divisible, or $2d \geq r + 1$, when G is divisible.*

Similar statements hold when F has prime characteristic p . In that case G must be an elementary p -group in order for $L(G, g, f)$ to be a simple Lie algebra. The Lie algebras $L(G, g, f)$ were shown to be simple when g is an isomorphism by Albert and Frank in [1, p. 138]. In the finite dimensional case the simple Lie algebras $L(G, g, f)$ may be shown to be the same up to isomorphism as the p^n -dimensional simple Lie algebras considered by Jennings and Ree in [3].

3. The algebra of derivations of $L(G, g, f)$. We shall henceforth assume that any algebra $L(G, g, f)$ considered is a simple Lie algebra, and in particular that a mapping h satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 is given.

Now suppose that D is a derivation of $L(G, g, f)$ and let $c(\alpha, \gamma)$ be the coefficient of $u_{\alpha+\gamma}$ in $u_\alpha D$, that is,

$$D: u_\alpha \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \in G} c(\alpha, \gamma)u_{\alpha+\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma \in G} c(\alpha, -\alpha + \gamma)u_\gamma,$$

the sums being finite of course. Since D is a derivation, $(u_\gamma u_\epsilon)D = (u_\gamma D)u_\epsilon + u_\gamma(u_\epsilon D)$, that is, with $\phi(\alpha, \beta)$ denoting $f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta)$,

$$\sum_{\zeta \in G} \{ \phi(\gamma, \epsilon)c(\gamma + \epsilon, -\gamma - \epsilon + \zeta) - \phi(\zeta - \epsilon, \epsilon)c(\gamma, -\gamma - \epsilon + \zeta) - \phi(\gamma, -\gamma + \zeta)c(\epsilon, -\gamma - \epsilon + \zeta) \} u_\zeta = 0$$

for all γ and ϵ in G . Taking $\zeta = \gamma + \epsilon + \theta$ this gives

$$(3) \quad [f(\gamma, \epsilon) + g(\gamma - \epsilon)]c(\gamma + \epsilon, \theta) = [f(\gamma + \theta, \epsilon) + g(\gamma - \epsilon + \theta)]c(\gamma, \theta) \\ + [f(\gamma, \epsilon + \theta) + g(\gamma - \epsilon - \theta)]c(\epsilon, \theta)$$

for all γ, ϵ and θ in G .

LEMMA 1. *If $\theta \neq 0$ then*

$$(4) \quad [f(\beta, \theta) + g(\beta - \theta)]c(\alpha, \theta) = [f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta)]c(\beta, \theta)$$

for any α and β in G .

In this proof we denote $c(\gamma, \theta)$ by c_γ for any γ . The proof is divided into two cases.

CASE I. $g(\theta) \neq 0$. Taking $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = 0$ in (3), we get $0 = g(\theta)c_\alpha + [f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta)]c_0$, i.e.,

$$c_\alpha = [f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta)][-g(\theta)]^{-1}c_0$$

which, together with the similar result for β , gives (4).

CASE II. $g(\theta) = 0, \theta \neq 0$. If $g(\alpha) = g(\beta) = 0$ then both sides of (4) vanish, so we may assume that, say, $g(\alpha) \neq 0$. With $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = 0$, (3) gives $g(\alpha)[h(\theta) + 1]c_0 = 0$, i.e., $c_0 = 0$. Now let ζ and η be any nonzero elements of G such that $g(\zeta) \neq 0$ and $g(\eta) = 0$. Then (3) with $\gamma = \zeta$ and $\epsilon = -\zeta$ gives $0 = g(\zeta)[h(\theta) + 2]\{c_\zeta + c_{-\zeta}\}$, i.e.,

$$c_{-\zeta} = -c_\zeta.$$

Also by (3), $g(\zeta)[h(\eta) + 1]c_{\zeta+\eta} = g(\zeta)[h(\eta) + 1]c_\zeta + g(\zeta)[h(\eta + \theta) + 1]c_\eta$, i.e.,

$$c_{\zeta+\eta} = c_\zeta + [h(\eta) + 1]^{-1}[h(\eta + \theta) + 1]c_\eta,$$

while with $\gamma = -\zeta$ and $\epsilon = \zeta + \eta$, (3) gives

$$-g(\zeta)[h(\eta) + 2]c_\eta = -g(\zeta)[h(\eta + \theta) + 2]\{c_{-\zeta} + c_{\zeta+\eta}\}$$

i.e.,

$$c_{\zeta+\eta} = -c_{-\zeta} + [h(\eta + \theta) + 2]^{-1}[h(\eta) + 2]c_\eta.$$

Hence $[h(\eta + \theta) + 2][h(\eta + \theta) + 1]c_\eta = [h(\eta) + 1][h(\eta) + 2]c_\eta$, so that $h(\theta)[h(2\eta + \theta) + 3]c_\eta = 0$. Thus $c_\eta = 0$ and $c_{\zeta+\eta} = c_\zeta$ if $h(2\eta + \theta) \neq -3$ while if $h(2\eta + \theta) = -3$ then, since $h(4\eta + \theta) \neq -3$ and $h(-2\eta + \theta) \neq -3$, we have $c_{\zeta+\eta} = c_{\zeta-\eta+2\eta} = c_{\zeta-\eta} = c_\zeta$, and again $c_\eta = 0$. It follows that

$$c_{\alpha+\beta+\theta} = c_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad c_{\beta+\theta} = c_\beta.$$

Now with $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = \beta + \theta$ in (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [f(\alpha, \beta + \theta) + g(\alpha - \beta)]c_{\alpha+\beta} \\ &= [f(\alpha + \theta, \beta + \theta) + g(\alpha - \beta)]c_\alpha + [f(\alpha, \beta + 2\theta) + g(\alpha - \beta)]c_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting from this (3) with $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = \beta$ we get $f(\alpha, \theta)c_{\alpha+\beta} = f(\alpha, \theta)\{c_\alpha + c_\beta\}$, so that $c_{\alpha+\beta} = c_\alpha + c_\beta$. Now (3) with $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = \beta$ gives

$$f(\beta, \theta)c_\alpha = f(\alpha, \theta)c_\beta.$$

Multiplying both sides of this by $[h(\theta)]^{-1}[h(\theta) + 1]$, we get (4) for this case also, which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2. *The derivation D differs by an inner derivation D' from a derivation for which the coefficients $c(\alpha, \theta)$ vanish for all nonzero θ .*

Indeed for any nonzero θ we may take an α such that $f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta) \neq 0$ and set $k_\theta = [f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta)]^{-1}c(\alpha, \theta)$. By Lemma 1, k_θ is well defined. Thus if $g(\theta) \neq 0$ then $k_\theta = -[g(\theta)]^{-1}c(0, \theta)$, and since $c(0, \theta) \neq 0$ for only finitely many θ , there are only finitely many θ such that $g(\theta) \neq 0$ and $k_\theta \neq 0$. Similarly, taking α such that $g(\alpha) \neq 0$, we find that there are also only finitely many θ such that $g(\theta) = 0$ and $k_\theta \neq 0$. Therefore we may consider the right multiplication by $\sum_{\theta \neq 0} k_\theta u_\theta$. Taking this to be D' , and noting that by Lemma 1 if $f(\alpha, \theta) + g(\alpha - \theta) = 0$ then $c(\alpha, \theta) = 0$ (for nonzero θ), we see that the lemma holds.

Now let d be any additive function of G to F . Then it is easy to see that the linear transformation D_d determined by the mapping of the basis elements

$$u_\alpha \rightarrow d(\alpha)u_\alpha$$

is a derivation of $L(G, g, f)$.

THEOREM 2. *The algebra of derivations of the simple Lie algebra $L(G, g, f)$ is spanned by the inner derivations together with all the derivations D_d .*

What remains to be proved is that

$$(5) \quad c_{\alpha+\beta} = c_\alpha + c_\beta$$

for any α and β , where, for any γ , c_γ denotes $c(\gamma, 0)$. If $f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta) \neq 0$, (5) follows directly from (3) with $\theta = 0$. But if $f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ then we may pick a γ such that the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) + g(\alpha + \beta - \gamma), \quad f(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) + g(\alpha - \beta - \gamma), \\ & f(\beta, \gamma) + g(\beta - \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

are all nonzero, for if $g(\alpha) = 0$ (so that $g(\beta) = 0$ also) then any γ for which $g(\gamma) \neq 0$ will do, while if $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ we may take $\gamma = 2\beta$ unless $\alpha = \beta$. When $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\alpha = \beta$ (and the characteristic is not 3) we may take $\gamma = -\alpha$. Now with such a γ we have

$$c_{\alpha+\beta} + c_\gamma = c_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = c_\alpha + c_{\beta+\gamma} = c_\alpha + c_\beta + c_\gamma$$

(when the characteristic is 3 and $\alpha = \beta$ one argues that $c_{2\alpha} = c_{-\alpha} = -c_\alpha = 2c_\alpha$). Thus (5) holds and the theorem is proved.

Noting that the derivation sending u_α to $g(\alpha)u_\alpha$ is inner, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *If G has finite rank n then the algebra of outer derivations of $L(G, g, f)$ is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension $n - 1$.*

4. Criteria for isomorphism. Henceforth we shall restrict our consideration to simple Lie algebras $L(G, g, f)$ of characteristic zero, so that G must be torsion-free.

A derivation D of an algebra L is locally algebraic if and only if it is true that for every x in L the set $\{xD^i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ lies in a finite-dimensional subspace (depending on x) of L .

LEMMA 3. *The only locally algebraic derivations of $L(G, g, f)$ are the derivations D_d .*

The derivations D_d are obviously locally algebraic. Now suppose that D is a locally algebraic derivation. By Theorem 2, $D = R_y + D_d$, where R_y is the right multiplication by $y = \sum_\gamma a_\gamma u_\gamma$, for some y and d . Suppose that some nonzero γ is y -admissible. We may simply order G in such a way that this $\gamma > 0$. Call u_ϵ the leading term in an element z of $L(G, g, f)$ if ϵ is the greatest z -admissible element of G , and let u_α be the leading term in y . Thus $\alpha > 0$. We shall find a β such that the leading term in $(u_\beta)D^i$ is $u_{\beta+i\alpha}$, contradicting the assumption that D is locally algebraic. Indeed if $g(\alpha) = 0$ we may take β to be any positive element of G with $g(\beta) \neq 0$, since then the coefficient of $u_{\beta+i\alpha}$ in $(u_\beta)D^i$ is $[g(\beta)]^i [h(\alpha) + 1]^i \neq 0$, while if $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ we may take β to be 2α . Thus y must be a scalar multiple of u_0 , and the lemma is proved.

Since for any distinct elements α and β there is an additive mapping d of G to F such that $d(\alpha) \neq d(\beta)$, we have the following result.

LEMMA 4. *The only elements of $L(G, g, f)$ which are characteristic vectors for all locally algebraic derivations are the scalar multiples of all the elements u_α .*

Now suppose that σ is an isomorphism of one algebra $L(G, g, f)$ onto another, $L(G', g', f')$. We shall determine the relations between

G, g and f on the one hand, and G', g' and f' on the other. It follows from Lemma 4 that for every α in G there is an element α^σ in G' such that

$$(6) \quad (u_\alpha)\sigma = cl_\alpha u_{\alpha^\sigma}$$

where l_α is a nonzero scalar (depending on α and σ) and c is a fixed scalar chosen so that $l_0 = 1$. The induced mapping $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha^\sigma$ of G into G' is one-to-one and onto. Since $(u_\gamma u_\epsilon)\sigma = [(u_\gamma)\sigma][(u_\epsilon)\sigma]$,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} cl_{\gamma+\epsilon}[f(\gamma, \epsilon) + g(\gamma - \epsilon)]u_{(\gamma+\epsilon)^\sigma} \\ = c^2 l_\gamma l_\epsilon [f'(\gamma^\sigma, \epsilon^\sigma) + g'(\gamma^\sigma - \epsilon^\sigma)]u_{\gamma^\sigma + \epsilon^\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

for all γ and ϵ in G . Hence if $f(\gamma, \epsilon) + g(\gamma - \epsilon) \neq 0$ then $(\gamma + \epsilon)^\sigma = \gamma^\sigma + \epsilon^\sigma$, so that, exactly as in the final part of the proof of Theorem 2, σ is always additive and therefore is an isomorphism of G onto G' .

Taking $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\epsilon = 0$ in (7) we get

$$(8) \quad g'(\alpha^\sigma) = c^{-1}g(\alpha)$$

for any α in G . Now taking $\epsilon = -\gamma$ in (7), we have $l_{-\gamma} = l_\gamma^{-1}$ for any γ , and taking $\gamma = 2\zeta$ and $\epsilon = -\zeta$ we have $l_\zeta = l_{2\zeta}l_{-\zeta}$, i.e., $l_{2\zeta} = l_\zeta^2$ for any ζ in G . If $f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ then, by (7) and (8), $cf'(\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma) + g(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ and

$$(9) \quad f'(\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma) = c^{-1}f(\alpha, \beta).$$

Similarly, if $f(\alpha, \beta) - g(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ or $4f(\alpha, \beta) + 2g(\alpha - \beta) = 0$ then by taking $\gamma = -\alpha$ and $\epsilon = -\beta$, or $\gamma = 2\alpha$ and $\epsilon = 2\beta$, in (7), we have (9) again. Now suppose that the expressions $f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta)$, $f(\alpha, \beta) - g(\alpha - \beta)$ and $4f(\alpha, \beta) + 2g(\alpha - \beta)$ are nonzero. By (7) and (8) we have

$$l_{-\alpha-\beta} = l_{-\alpha}l_{-\beta}[cf'(\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma) - g(\alpha - \beta)][f(\alpha, \beta) - g(\alpha - \beta)]^{-1}$$

while on the other hand

$$l_{-\alpha-\beta} = (l_{\alpha+\beta})^{-1} = l_\alpha^{-1}l_\beta^{-1}[cf'(\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma) + g(\alpha - \beta)]^{-1}[f(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha - \beta)].$$

Hence $[cf'(\alpha^\sigma, \beta^\sigma)]^2 = [f(\alpha, \beta)]^2$. Now similarly by expanding $l_{2\alpha+2\beta}$ in two different ways one may see that (9) always holds. It then follows that $l_{\alpha+\beta} = l_\alpha l_\beta$ for any α and β in G . We have thus proved one direction of the following theorem. The converse is clear from (7).

THEOREM 3. *A linear mapping $\sigma: L(G, g, f) \rightarrow L(G', g', f')$ of one of the simple Lie algebras $L(G, g, f)$ of characteristic zero onto another is an isomorphism if and only if there is an induced isomorphism $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha^\sigma$ of G onto G' , a nonzero scalar c and a homomorphism $l: \alpha \rightarrow l_\alpha$ of G into the multiplicative group F^* of the base field, such that (6), (8) and (9) hold for all α and β in G .*

This result in particular applies to automorphisms of $L(G, g, f)$. Thus if G has rank one then the automorphism group of $L(G, g, f)$ is a semidirect product of a normal subgroup A and a subgroup B , where A is isomorphic to the group of homomorphisms of G into F^* and B is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of G . Also if G is a free abelian group on n generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and the elements $g(\alpha_i)g(\alpha_j)$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$) of F are linearly independent over the rationals, then the automorphism group of $L(G, g, f)$ is again a semidirect product of groups A and B , where A is an n -fold direct product of F^* , and B has order 2 or 1 according to whether f vanishes identically or not.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, and M. S. Frank, *Simple Lie algebras of characteristic p* , Univ. e Politec. Torino. Rend. Sem. Mat. vol. 14 (1954–1955) pp. 117–139.
2. Graham Higman, *The units of group-rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 46 (1939–1940) pp. 231–248.
3. S. A. Jennings and Rimhak Ree, *On a family of Lie algebras of characteristic p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 84 (1957) pp. 192–207.
4. Rimhak Ree, *On generalized Witt algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 83 (1956) pp. 510–546.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY