

Scattering and Complete Integrability in the Massive φ^4 Theory

JOHN C. BAEZ*

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of California, Riverside, California 92521*

AND

ZHENG FANG ZHOU

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

Communicated by the Editors

Received July 11, 1989

The wave and scattering operators for the equation $(\square + m^2)\varphi + \lambda\varphi^3 = 0$ with $m, \lambda > 0$ on four-dimensional Minkowski space are analytic on a neighborhood of the space of finite-energy Cauchy data, $L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This allows the construction of infinitely many independent conserved quantities with vanishing Poisson brackets and implies that the massive φ^4 theory is completely integrable at low energy. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling some basic facts about scattering for the massive φ^4 theory equation,

$$(\square + m^2)\varphi + \lambda\varphi^3 = 0, \quad m > 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where φ is a real function on Minkowski space M_0 , which we identify with \mathbb{R}^4 given the coordinates $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_0, \mathbf{x})$. Let $L^{r,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Sobolev space of functions on \mathbb{R}^n with r derivatives in L^q , where here and in what follows all function spaces are of *real* functions unless otherwise specified. The space of finite-energy Cauchy data, \mathbf{H} , is defined as the Hilbert space $L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with norm given by

$$\|(u_1, u_2)\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_1)^2 + m^2 u_1^2 + u_2^2.$$

* Current address: Department of Mathematics, Wellesley College, Wellesley, MA 02181 (on leave from the University of California at Riverside).

Given $u \in \mathbf{H}$ there is a unique distributional solution φ of (1) with $u = (\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{x_0=0}$. Let $V(t)u = (\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{x_0=t}$. Then V is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of \mathbf{H} . In the special case $\lambda = 0$, (1) reduces to the linear Klein-Gordon equation, and we denote the corresponding one-parameter group by U . For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $U(t)$ is an orthogonal linear operator on \mathbf{H} . V may be defined in terms of U via an integral equation: if N denotes the function from \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{H} given by $N(u_1, u_2) = (0, -\lambda u_1^3)$, then

$$V(t)u = U(t)u + \int_0^t U(t-s)N(V(s)u)ds.$$

For any $u \in \mathbf{H}$, there exist $u_+, u_- \in \mathbf{H}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|U(t)u_{\pm} - V(t)u\| = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$u_{\pm} = u + \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_0^t U(-s)N(V(s)u)ds.$$

In fact there are homeomorphisms, the wave operators $W_{\pm}: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, such that $W_{\pm}(u_{\pm}) = u$. The scattering operator $S: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ may thus be defined by $S = (W_+)^{-1}W_-$.

The most natural way to prove the existence of the limit above is to prove absolute convergence of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U(-s)N(V(s)u)ds$. By the Sobolev inequalities it suffices to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(t, x)^6 dt \right)^{1/2} dt < \infty.$$

In other words, it suffices to show that $\varphi \in L^3(L^6)$, where we write $L^p(L^q)$ for $L^p(\mathbb{R}, L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Though this has been shown for u satisfying various decay conditions [8, 11], it has never been shown for all $u \in \mathbf{H}$. Thus Strauss took an indirect approach, whereby for all sufficiently small $u \in \mathbf{H}$ he proved the existence of the limit without proving absolute convergence of the integral [12, 13]. By a substantial elaboration of Strauss' method, Brenner [4] was able to construct an everywhere-defined scattering operator $S: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$.

In fact, $\varphi \in L^3(L^6)$ for all $u \in \mathbf{H}$. Let $L^p(L^{q,r})$ denote $L^p(\mathbb{R}, L^{q,r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and let $\mathbf{X} = L^\infty(L^{2,1}) \cap L^3(L^6)$, a Banach space with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}} = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(L^{2,1})} + \|\varphi\|_{L^3(L^6)}.$$

Then we have:

THEOREM 1. *If $u \in \mathbf{H}$ and φ is the unique distributional solution of (1) with $u = (\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{x_0=0}$, then $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, for some neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} the map $u \mapsto \varphi$ is analytic from Ω to \mathbf{X} .*

By "analytic" we mean real-analytic, that is, infinitely Frechét-differentiable with a locally norm-convergent Taylor series. Our proof of Theorem 1 makes use of Brenner's work and recent work of Marshall, who essentially proved that $u \in \mathbf{H}$ implies $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$ in the linear case [6].

Theorem 1 has as a consequence:

THEOREM 2. *The operators $W_{\pm}, S: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ are analytic in a neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} .*

Theorem 2 strengthens earlier results of Raczka and Strauss [10] and the authors [3]. The analyticity of the wave operators in a neighborhood Ω of the origin allows the construction of infinitely many analytic functions $F_j: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are conserved quantities for the nonlinear time evolution $V(t)$. In fact, the massive φ^4 theory is completely integrable at low energy.

To make this precise, recall that the space \mathbf{H} has a symplectic structure ω given by

$$\omega(u, v) = \int_{x_0=t} (u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1) d^3x,$$

where $u, v \in T_x \mathbf{H}$ are tangent vectors identified with vectors in \mathbf{H} . The following propositions extend the work of Morawetz and Strauss [9]:

PROPOSITION 1. *The operators $W_{\pm}, S: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ are symplectomorphisms on a neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} .*

PROPOSITION 2. *For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $V(t) = W_{\pm} U(t) W_{\pm}^{-1}$.*

Using the above propositions we obtain the following:

THEOREM 3. *There exists a neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} that is invariant under $V(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and there exist analytic functions $F_j: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all j and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $V(t)^* F_j = F_j$. There exist vector fields v_j on Ω such that*

$$dF_j = \omega(v_j, \cdot).$$

Moreover: (1) For all j and k , $\omega(v_j, v_k) = 0$. (2) Generically, i.e., except for u in a set of first category in Ω , the subspace $L = \{v \in T_u \mathbf{H} : \forall j dF_j(v) = 0\}$ is isotropic in $T_u \mathbf{H}$. (That is, $\omega(v, w) = 0$ for all $v, w \in L$.)

In other words, the functions F_i are a complete set of integrals of motion for the massive φ^4 theory at low energy, with pairwise vanishing Poisson brackets. (For a discussion of complete integrability see, for example, [1].) A similar result has been obtained for the *massless* φ^4 theory without any such "small data" condition [2]. If one could show that the map $u \mapsto \varphi$ is analytic from all of \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{X} , then the "small data" condition in Proposition 1 and Theorems 2 and 3 could be omitted.

2. PROOF OF ANALYTICITY

First we note that Theorem 1 holds for the linear Klein-Gordon equation. Given $u \in \mathbf{H}$, let φ_0 denote the unique distributional solution of the linear Klein-Gordon equation $(\square + m^2)\varphi_0 = 0$ with $(\varphi_0, \dot{\varphi}_0)|_{x_0=0} = u$.

LEMMA 1 (B. Marshall). $\|\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}}$.

Proof. Marshall proved this in the case where $\varphi_0|_{x_0=0} = 0$; we defer the proof to the Appendix. ■

We write the solution to (1) with initial data $u \in \mathbf{H}$ as

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0(t) - \lambda \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi(s)^3 ds,$$

where $K(t) = B^{-1} \sin tB$ and $B = \sqrt{\Delta + m^2}$. We will use the following estimates on $K(t)$:

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $1/q + 1/q' = 1$, $1 < q' \leq q < \infty$, $\delta = 1/2 - 1/q$, and $5\delta = r' - r + 1$. Then

$$\|K(t)g\|_{q,r} \leq k(t) \|g\|_{q',r'},$$

where

$$k(t) \leq \begin{cases} ct^{-\delta} & \text{if } t \in (0, 1]; \\ ct^{-3\delta}(\ln(1+t))^{2\delta} & \text{if } t \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Brenner's estimate A [4], but we present a simplified proof in the Appendix. ■

LEMMA 3. Given $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \mathbf{X}$, let

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s) ds.$$

Then $\psi \in \mathbf{X}$ and the map $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \mapsto \psi$ is analytic from \mathbf{X}^3 to \mathbf{X} .

Proof. Since the map $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) \mapsto \psi$ is multilinear it suffices to show that

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

Let $F(t, s) = K(t-s) \varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s)$. By Hölder's inequality

$$\|\psi(t)\|_6 \leq \left\| \int_0^t F(t, s) ds \right\|_2^{1/9} \left\| \int_0^t F(t, s) ds \right\|_8^{8/9}. \tag{2}$$

We estimate the first factor using Hölder's inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t F(t, s) ds \right\|_2 &\leq c \left\| \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s) ds \right\|_2 \\ &\leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s)\|_2 ds \\ &\leq c' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\varphi_1(s)\|_6 \|\varphi_2(s)\|_6 \|\varphi_3(s)\|_6 ds \\ &\leq c' \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

To treat the second factor, we first note that by Lemma 2,

$$\|F(t, s)\|_8 \leq k(t-s) \|\varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s)\|_{8/7,1},$$

where $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It follows from Young's inequality that for some constant M ,

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^t F(t, s) ds \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^{8/9} \leq \left\| \left(\int_0^t \|F(t, s)\|_8 ds \right) \right\|_{L^{8/3}(\mathbb{R})}^{8/9} \leq M \|\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3\|_{L^{8/3}(\mathbb{R}^{3/7,1})}^{8/9}. \tag{4}$$

Writing Φ_i for $\varphi_i(t)$, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3\|_{8/7,1} \\ &\leq \|\Phi_1\|_{24/7} \|\Phi_2\|_{24/7} \|\Phi_3\|_{24/7} + (\|\Phi_1\|_{2,1} \|\Phi_2\|_{24/5} \|\Phi_3\|_6 + \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where the dots indicate two terms obtained from the first by cyclic permutations of 1, 2, 3. Using Hölder's inequality again we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3\|_{L^{8/3}(\mathbb{R}^{3/7,1})} &\leq \|\varphi_1\|_{L^8(\mathbb{R}^{24/7})} \|\varphi_2\|_{L^8(\mathbb{R}^{24/7})} \|\varphi_3\|_{L^8(\mathbb{R}^{24/7})} \\ &\quad + (\|\varphi_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2,1})} \|\varphi_2\|_{L^{24}(\mathbb{R}^{24/5})} \|\varphi_3\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^6)} + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4 below, for some constant c ,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^8(L^{24/7})}, \|\varphi\|_{L^{24}(L^{24/5})} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

It follows that for some constant c ,

$$\|\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3\|_{L^{8/3}(L^{8/7,1})} \leq c \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

Thus (4) implies that for some constant M ,

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^t \int_0^s F(t,s) ds \right) \right\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R})}^{8/9} \leq M (\|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}})^{8/9}. \quad (5)$$

By (2), (3), and (5) we have for some constant C ,

$$\|\psi\|_{L^3(L^6)} \leq C \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

To complete the proof it thus suffices to prove that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(L^{2,1})} \leq C' \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}$$

for some constant C' . This may be seen as follows: for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(t)\|_{2,1} &\leq c \left\| \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s) ds \right\|_{2,1} \\ &\leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s)\|_2 ds \\ &\leq c' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\varphi_1(s)\|_6 \|\varphi_2(s)\|_6 \|\varphi_3(s)\|_6 ds \\ &\leq c' \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}} \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. \blacksquare

LEMMA 4. For some constant c , for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^8(L^{24/7})}, \|\varphi\|_{L^{24}(L^{24/5})} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

Proof. It follows from the Sobolev inequalities that if $r \in [2, 6]$,

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(L^r)}, \|\varphi\|_{L^3(L^6)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

Therefore by the Riesz–Thorin theorem $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}$ if $1/p = \theta/3$ and $1/q = \theta/6 + (1-\theta)/r$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. If we choose $\theta = \frac{3}{8}$, $r = \frac{30}{11} \in [2, 6]$, then

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{8}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{11}{48} = \frac{7}{24};$$

hence $\|\psi\|_{L^8(L^{24/7})} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}$. If we choose $\theta = \frac{1}{8}$, $r = \frac{14}{5} \in [2, 6]$, then

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{24}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{48} + \frac{9}{48} = \frac{5}{24};$$

hence $\|\psi\|_{L^{24}(L^{24/5})} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}}$. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1. Given $u \in \mathbf{H}$, let φ be the unique distributional solution of (1) with $(\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{x_0=0} = u$. It follows from conservation of energy that $\varphi \in L^\infty(L^{2,1})$; so to show that $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$, it suffices to prove $\varphi \in L^3(L^6)$. The proof resembles that of Lemma 3. Writing

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_0(t) - \lambda \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi(s)^3 ds,$$

by Lemma 1 it suffices to show that $\psi \in L^3(L^6)$, where

$$\psi(t) = -\lambda \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi(s)^3 ds.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\|\psi(t)\|_6 \leq \|\psi(t)\|_2^{1/9} \|\psi(t)\|_8^{8/9}. \quad (6)$$

By conservation of energy, the first factor is bounded by a constant independent of t :

$$\|\psi(t)\|_2 \leq \|\varphi_0(t)\|_2 + \|\varphi(t)\|_2 \leq c. \quad (7)$$

To treat the second factor, we note that by Lemma 2,

$$\|\psi(t)\|_8 \leq \lambda \int_0^t k(t-s) \|\varphi(s)^3\|_{8/7,1} ds.$$

By Lemma 5 below, for some constant c ,

$$\|\varphi(s)^3\|_{8/7,1} \leq c \|\varphi(s)\|_{2,1}^{3/2} \|\varphi(s)\|_8^{3/2};$$

so by conservation of energy, for some constant C ,

$$\|\psi(t)\|_8 \leq C \int_0^t k(t-s) \|\varphi(s)\|_8^{3/2} ds \quad (8)$$

for all t .

It follows from (6), (7), and (8) that for some constant c ,

$$\|\psi(t)\|_6 \leq c \left(\int_0^t k(t-s) \|\varphi(s)\|_8^{3/2} ds \right)^{8/9}. \quad (9)$$

Brenner's theorem 1 in [4] implies that $\varphi \in L^4(L^8)$. It follows that $\|\varphi(s)\|_8^{3/2} \in L^{8/3}$. Since $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\int_0^t k(t-s) \|\varphi(s)\|_8^{3/2} ds \in L^{8/3}(\mathbb{R});$$

so by (9), $\psi \in L^3(L^6)$ as desired.

We prove that $u \mapsto \varphi$ is analytic for small u using the contractive mapping technique. This will also provide a different proof that $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$ for small $u \in \mathbf{H}$. By Lemmas 1 and 3, there is an analytic map $R: \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ given by

$$R(u, \psi)(t) = \varphi_0(t) - \lambda \int_0^t K(t-s) \psi(s)^3 ds,$$

where φ_0 is as above.

By Lemmas 1 and 3 we can choose c such that

$$\lambda \left\| \int_0^t K(t-s) \varphi_1(s) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_3(s) ds \right\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|\varphi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \|\varphi_3\|_{\mathbf{X}}$$

and

$$\|\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}}.$$

Choose $r > 0$ such that $16cr^2 < 1$. Suppose that $c \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq r$, so that $\|\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq r$. Then we claim that the map $R(u, \cdot): \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ preserves the open set

$$E = \{\psi \in \mathbf{X}: \|\psi - \varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} < r\},$$

and is a contradiction on this set. If $\varphi \in E$, then

$$\|R(u, \psi) - \varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|\psi\|_{\mathbf{X}}^3 \leq c(\|\psi - \varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}})^3 < r,$$

so $R(u, \psi) \in E$. Moreover, if $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(u, \psi_1) - R(u, \psi_2)\|_{\mathbf{X}} &= \lambda \left\| \int_0^t K(t-s)(\psi_1(s)^3 - \psi_2(s)^3) ds \right\|_{\mathbf{X}} \\ &\leq c(\|\psi_1\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\psi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}})^2 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \\ &\leq c(2\|\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\psi_1 - \varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|\psi_2 - \varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{X}})^2 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} \\ &\leq 16cr^2 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}} < \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathbf{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

Given $u \in \mathbf{H}$ there is a unique $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $R(u, \varphi) = \varphi$. Since $R(u, \cdot)$ is a contraction of the open set E if $c \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}} < r$, it follows from the analytic implicit function theorem [3] that the function $u \mapsto \varphi$ is analytic from $\{u: c \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}} < r\}$ to \mathbf{X} . ■

LEMMA 5. For some constant c ,

$$\|f^3\|_{8/7,1} \leq c \|f\|_{2,1}^{3/2} \|f\|_8^{3/2}$$

for all $f \in L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^8(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Since

$$\|f^3\|_{8/7,1} \leq \|f^3\|_{8/7} + 3 \|f^2 \nabla f\|_{8/7},$$

it suffices to estimate each of the terms on the right side. For the first term, Hölder's inequality implies

$$\|f^3\|_{8/7} \leq \|f\|_8^{3/2} \|f\|_{24/11}^{3/2},$$

and since $24/11 \in [2, 6]$, the Sobolev inequalities imply

$$\|f^3\|_{8/7} \leq c \|f\|_8^{3/2} \|f\|_{2,1}^{3/2}.$$

For the second term, Hölder's inequality implies

$$\|f^2 \nabla f\|_{8/7} \leq \|f^2\|_{8/3} \|\nabla f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_8^{3/2} \|f\|_{8/3}^{1/2} \|\nabla f\|_2,$$

and since $\frac{8}{3} \in [2, 6]$, the Sobolev inequalities imply

$$\|f^2 \nabla f\|_{8/7} \leq c \|f\|_8^{3/2} \|f\|_{2,1}^{3/2}. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 2. The map $u \mapsto \varphi$ given by Theorem 1 is analytic from a neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} to $L^3(L^6)$. It follows that for $u \in \Omega$ the inverse wave operators are given by

$$W_{\pm}^{-1}u = u + \int_0^{\pm\infty} U(-s)(0, -\lambda\varphi(s)^3) ds,$$

the integral converging absolutely in \mathbf{H} , and that $W_{\pm}^{-1}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ are analytic. It is easily seen that the derivative of the maps W_{\pm}^{-1} at the origin is the identity operator on \mathbf{H} . It follows from the analytic inverse function theorem [3] that the maps W_{\pm} are also analytic on a neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{H} . Since $S = (W_+)^{-1}W_-$, the map S is analytic on a neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{H} as well. ■

3. PROOF OF COMPLETE INTEGRABILITY

Proof of Proposition 1. Morawetz and Strauss [9] have shown that

$$\omega(dW_{\pm} v, dW_{\pm} w) = \omega(v, w) \tag{10}$$

if $v, w \in T_u \mathcal{F}$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is a certain dense subspace of \mathbf{H} such that $W_{\pm}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a diffeomorphism. By Theorem 2, the maps W_{\pm} are real-analytic on a neighborhood Ω of the origin of \mathbf{H} , so (10) holds for all $v, w \in T_u \mathbf{H}$ if $u \in \Omega$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2. This follows directly from the definition of the maps W_{\pm} . ■

Proof of Theorem 3. Note that by conservation of energy there exist arbitrarily small neighborhoods of the origin in \mathbf{H} that are invariant under $V(t)$ for all t , namely those of the form

$$\Omega = \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H} : \int \frac{1}{2} ((\nabla u_1)^2 + m^2 u_1^2 + u_2^2) + \frac{\lambda}{4} u_1^4 < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Choosing Ω small enough, by Theorem 2 and Propositions 1 and 2, W_{-1} is an analytic symplectomorphism from Ω to a $U(t)$ -invariant neighborhood Ω' of the origin of \mathbf{H} , and W_{-1} intertwines the action of $V(t)$ on Ω with the action of $U(t)$ on Ω' . Thus it suffices to prove the theorem for the action of $U(t)$ on Ω' , that is, in the free case.

Given $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $k_0 = (\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)^{1/2}$. There is an isomorphism $R: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ such that:

$$\varphi_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \operatorname{Re} \int (Ru)(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(k_0 x_0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} k_0^{-1} d^3k.$$

Given a real-valued function $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, define the function $F_h: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F_h(u) = \int h(\mathbf{k}) |(Ru)(\mathbf{k})|^2 k_0^{-1} d^3k.$$

Note that F_h is analytic on \mathbf{H} . Furthermore, given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathbf{H}$, $U(t)^* F_h = F_h$ because $RU(t)u = e^{ik_0 t} Ru$.

We define the functions F_j and corresponding vector fields v_j as follows. Let $\{h_j\}$ be a sequence of real functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ spanning $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})^*$ in its weak-* topology, and let $F_j = F_{h_j}$. Clearly the map $u \mapsto R^{-1}(2ih_j Ru)$ is continuous and linear from \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{H} and can be identified with an analytic vector field on \mathbf{H} , which we denote by v_j .

It is well known that if $v, w \in T_u \mathbf{H}$ are identified with vectors in \mathbf{H} by translation:

$$\omega(v, w) = \operatorname{Im} \int Rv \overline{Rw} k_0^{-1} d^3k.$$

Thus if $w \in T_u \mathbf{H}$,

$$dF_j(w) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int h_j Ru \overline{Rw} k_0^{-1} d^3k = \omega(v_j, w),$$

as claimed. ■

Statement (1) is proved as follows:

$$\omega(v_i, v_j) = \operatorname{Im} \int Rv_i \overline{Rv_j} k_0^{-1} d^3k = 4 \operatorname{Im} \int h_i h_j |Ru|^2 k_0^{-1} d^3k = 0.$$

To prove statement (2), we show that the space $L \subset T_u \mathbf{H}$ is isotropic for all $u \in D$, where $D \subset \mathbf{H}$ is the set of u such that Ru is a.e. nonzero. An argument as in [2] shows that the complement of D is of first category in \mathbf{H} . Suppose that $u \in D$ and $v \in T_u \mathbf{H}$. Then if $v \in L$,

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \int h_i Ru \overline{Rv} k_0^{-1} d^3k = 0$$

for all i , which implies that $Ru \overline{Rv}$ is a.e. imaginary, since $\{h_i\}$ spans $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})^*$. Thus given v and w in L , $Rv \overline{Rw} |Ru|^2$ is a.e. real, so by the definition of D , $Rv \overline{Rw}$ is a.e. real. It follows that

$$\omega(v, w) = \operatorname{Im} \int Rv \overline{Rw} k_0^{-1} d^3k = 0$$

as was to be shown. ■

APPENDIX

Proof of Lemma 1. We follow the arguments of Marshall, Strauss, and Wainger [6, 7]. For brevity we use φ rather than φ_0 to denote the unique distributional solution of $(\square + m^2)\varphi = 0$ with $(\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{x_0=0} = u$. By conservation of energy, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}}$, so it suffices to show that $\|\varphi\|_{L^3(L^6)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}}$.

Note that

$$B^{-b}\varphi(t, \cdot) = B^{-b} \cos(tB) u_1 + B^{-(b+1)} \sin(tB) u_2.$$

Let dV denote the measure $dV = k_0^{-(b+1)} d^3k$ on the surface $\{k_0 = (\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)^{1/2}\}$ in \mathbf{M}_0 . Then

$$B^{-b}\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \operatorname{Re} \int F(\mathbf{k}) e^{i(k_0 t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} dV,$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{k}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int (Bu_1 - iu_2)(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d^3x.$$

In other words, $B^{-b}\varphi = \operatorname{Re} \widehat{F dV}$, where $\widehat{}$ denotes the usual Poincaré-invariant inverse Fourier transform on \mathbf{M}_0 , up to a constant. Suppose that

$b, p,$ and q satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 6 below. Then using that lemma and the Plancherel theorem, it follows that

$$\|B^{-b}\varphi\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq \|\widehat{F dV}\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq C\|F\|_{L^2(dV)} = C\|B^{-(b+1)/2}(Bu_1 - iu_2)\|_2,$$

hence

$$\|B^{(1-b)/2}\varphi\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq c\|Bu_1 - iu_2\|_2.$$

In particular, we may choose $b = \frac{1}{2}, p = 3,$ and $q = 5.$ The Sobolev inequalities then imply

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^3(L^6)} \leq c\|B^{1/4}\varphi\|_{L^3(L^5)} \leq c'\|Bu_1 - iu_2\|_2 = C\|u\|_H,$$

as was to be shown. ■

LEMMA 6. *If $q \geq 2$ and the following hold,*

$$b = 5\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) - 1, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{2}{3} < p\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) < 1, \tag{12}$$

then

$$\|\widehat{F dV}\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq C\|F\|_{L^2(dV)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6. By duality and an argument given by P. Tomas [14], it is enough to show that

$$\|\widehat{F} * \widehat{dV}\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq C\|\widehat{F}\|_{L^{p'}(L^q)},$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1, 1/q + 1/q' = 1.$

Note that if $f(s) = \|\widehat{F}(s, \cdot)\|_{q'},$ and $k(t)$ is such that $\|h * \widehat{dV}(t, \cdot)\|_q \leq k(t)\|h\|_{q'}$ for all $h \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^3),$ then

$$\|\widehat{F} * \widehat{dV}\|_{L^p(L^q)} \leq \|k * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Young's inequality implies that

$$\|k * f\|_p \leq \|k\|_{p/2} \|f\|_{p'} = \|k\|_{p/2} \|\widehat{F}\|_{L^{p'}(L^q)}.$$

Thus to prove the lemma it suffices to show that $k \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R})$ for some k such that

$$\|h * \widehat{dV}(t, \cdot)\|_q \leq k(t)\|h\|_{q'}.$$

Note that

$$h * \widehat{dV}(t, \cdot) = B^{-(b+1)}e^{itB}h.$$

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C},$ let

$$P(z, t) = B^{-(z+1)}e^{itB}.$$

We will show by interpolation that $\|P(b, \cdot)\|_{L^s, L^q} \in L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}).$

Note, first, that if $\text{Re}(z) = -1,$ the Plancherel theorem implies $\|P(z, t)\|_{L^2, L^2} \leq 1.$ Second, as we show below,

$$\|P(3/2, t)\|_{L^1, L^\infty} \leq ct^{-1}(1+t)^{-1/2} \ln(2+t) \tag{13}$$

for all $t > 0.$ Moreover, [5], for all $y \in \mathbb{R}, \|B^{iy}\|_{L^\infty, BMO} \leq c(1+|y|^2).$ Composing these operators we conclude that

$$\|P(z, t)\|_{L^1, BMO} \leq c(1+|\text{Im}(z)|)^2 t^{-1}(1+t)^{-1/2} \ln(2+t)$$

if $\text{Re}(z) = \frac{3}{2}.$ The space BMO plays the role of L^∞ in complex interpolation theory [5]. Therefore by the Stein interpolation theorem, $P(b, t)$ maps $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\|P(b, t)\|_{L^s, L^q} \leq ct^{-\varepsilon}(1+t)^{-\varepsilon/2} \ln(2+t)^\varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1], b = 5\varepsilon/2 - 1,$ and $1/q = (1-\varepsilon)/2;$ hence $\varepsilon = 1 - 2/q.$ It follows that $\|P(b, t)\|_{L^s, L^q} \in L^{p/2}$ if $q > 2$ and (11) and (12) hold.

Now let us show that (13) holds. By the definition of $P(z, t),$

$$P(\frac{3}{2}, t)f = B^{-5/2}e^{itB}f = G(t, \cdot) * f,$$

where

$$G(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int k_0^{-5/2}e^{i(k_0 t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} d^3k.$$

Thus it is enough to show that for some constant $C,$

$$|G(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq Ct^{-1}(1+t)^{-1/2} \ln(2+t)$$

for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$ Let $R = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ and $r = \|\mathbf{k}\|.$ Using spherical coordinates, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, \mathbf{x}) &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi k_0^{-5/2}e^{ik_0 t} e^{irR \cos \varphi} r^2 \sin \varphi d\varphi dr \\ &= \frac{8\pi}{R} \int_0^\infty k_0^{-5/2}e^{ik_0 t} r \sin(rR) dr. \\ &= \frac{8\pi}{itR} \int_0^\infty k_0^{-3/2} \frac{d}{dr} (e^{ik_0 t}) \sin(rR) dr, \end{aligned}$$

and integrating by parts gives

$$G(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{8\pi i}{t} \int_0^\infty e^{ik_0 t} \left(\frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} - \frac{3r \sin(rR)}{2Rk_0^{7/2}} \right) dr.$$

It follows that $|G(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq ct^{-1}$ for $0 < t < 1$. For $t \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{8\pi i}{t} \int_0^\infty e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr - \frac{8\pi}{t^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr} (e^{ik_0 t}) \frac{3 \sin(rR)}{2Rk_0^{5/2}} dr \\ &= \frac{8\pi i}{t} \int_0^\infty e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr + \frac{8\pi}{t^2} \int_0^\infty e^{ik_0 t} \frac{3 \cos(rR)}{2k_0^{5/2}} dr \\ &\quad - \frac{8\pi}{t^2} \int_0^\infty \cos(k_0 t) \frac{15r \sin(rR)}{4Rk_0^{9/2}} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the three terms in the last expression by I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 , respectively. It is easy to see that $|I_2|, |I_3| \leq Ct^{-3/2}$, since

$$\left| e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{5/2}} \right|, \left| e^{ik_0 t} \frac{r \sin(rR)}{Rk_0^{9/2}} \right| \leq ck_0^{-5/2}.$$

Thus we only need to prove that $|I_1| \leq Ct^{-3/2} \ln(1+t)$ or

$$J = \left| \int_0^\infty k_0^{-3/2} e^{ik_0 t} \cos(rR) dr \right| \leq ct^{-1/2} \ln(1+t),$$

for $t \geq 1$. J can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq \left| \int_0^t e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr \right| + \left| \int_t^\infty e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^t e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr \right| + c \int_t^\infty r^{-3/2} dr \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^t e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr \right| + 2ct^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the integral

$$I = \int_0^t e^{ik_0 t} \frac{\cos(rR)}{k_0^{3/2}} dr,$$

we use the following:

LEMMA 7. (1) If h is a function on an interval $[a, b]$ such that $h'' \geq \lambda > 0$, then $|\int_a^b e^{ih(x)} dx| \leq 8\lambda^{-1/2}$. (2) If h is a function on an interval $[a, b]$ such that $h'' \geq 0$ and $h' \geq \beta > 0$, then $|\int_a^b e^{ih(x)} dx| \leq 4\beta^{-1}$.

Proof. This is due to Van der Corput; see [15]. ■

Let

$$H(s, t) = \int_0^s e^{ik_0 t} \cos(rR) dr = \int_0^s \frac{1}{2} (e^{i(k_0 t + rR)} + e^{i(k_0 t - rR)}) dr.$$

We have

$$I = H(t, t)(t^2 + m^2)^{-3/4} + \int_0^t H(r, t) rk_0^{-7/2} dr.$$

From Lemma 7 and $(d^2/dr^2)(k_0 t \pm rR) = tm^2 k_0^{-3}$, we have

$$|H(r, t)| \leq ct^{-1/2} k_0^{3/2}.$$

Therefore

$$|H(t, t)(t^2 + m^2)^{-3/4}| \leq ct^{-1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t H(r, t) rk_0^{-7/2} dr \right| &\leq ct^{-1/2} \int_0^t k_0^{-2} r dr \\ &\leq c't^{-1/2} \ln(1+t); \end{aligned}$$

so for some constant c , $|I| \leq ct^{-1/2} \ln(1+t)$. ■

Proof of Lemma 2. Recall that $K(t)g = B^{-1} \sin(tB)g$. It is easy to see that if $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -1$,

$$\|B^{-z}K(t)g\|_2 \leq \|g\|_2.$$

As we show below,

$$\|B^{-3/2}K(t)g\|_\infty \leq m(t) \|g\|_1, \quad (14)$$

where

$$m(t) \leq \begin{cases} ct^{-1/2} & \text{if } t \in (0, 1/m]; \\ ct^{-3/2} \ln(1+t) & \text{if } t \in [1/m, \infty). \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1, the Stein interpolation theorem implies that

$$\|B^{-b}K(t)g\|_q \leq k(t) \|g\|_{q'},$$

where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, $b = 5\varepsilon/2 - 1$, $1/q = (1 - \varepsilon)/2$, and $k(t)$ satisfies

$$k(t) \leq \begin{cases} ct^{-\varepsilon/2} & \text{if } t \in (0, 1/m]; \\ ct^{-3\varepsilon/2}(\ln(1+t))^\varepsilon & \text{if } t \in [1/m, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\|B^{-b}K(t)B'g\|_q \leq k(t)\|B'g\|_{q'},$$

which implies that

$$\|K(t)g\|_{q,r} \leq k(t)\|g\|_{q',r'},$$

where $r = r' - b = r' + 1 - 5\varepsilon/2$. Taking $\delta = \varepsilon/2$, we obtain the desired estimate.

To prove (14) it suffices to show that

$$\|I(t, \cdot) * g\|_\infty \leq \|I(t, \cdot)\|_\infty \|g\|_1 \leq m(t)\|g\|_1,$$

where

$$I(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(k_0 t)}{k_0^{5/2}} \frac{r \sin(rR)}{R} dr. \quad (15)$$

From the proof of Lemma 1 we already know that $|I(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq ct^{-3/2} \ln(1+t)$ if $t \geq 1/m$. We only need to show that $|I(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq ct^{-1/2}$ for $t \in [0, 1/m]$. Letting $s = R/t$ and $u = k_0 t$, we can rewrite (15) as

$$I(t, \mathbf{x}) = t^{-1/2} J(t, \mathbf{x}) = t^{-1/2} \int_{mt}^\infty \frac{\sin u \sin(s \sqrt{u^2 - m^2 t^2})}{u^{1/2} su} du.$$

If $s \geq \frac{1}{8}$ then

$$|J(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq \int_0^1 u^{-1/2} du + \int_1^\infty s^{-1} u^{-3/2} du \leq 2 + 16.$$

In the case of $s \leq \frac{1}{8}$, we divide the integral for $J(t, \mathbf{x})$ into three parts J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 , given by

$$J_1 = \int_{s^{-2/3}}^\infty s^{-1} u^{-3/2} \sin u \sin v du,$$

$$J_2 = \int_2^{s^{-2/3}} s^{-1} u^{-3/2} \sin u \sin v du,$$

$$J_3 = \int_{mt}^2 s^{-1} u^{-3/2} \sin u \sin v du,$$

where $v = s \sqrt{u^2 - m^2 t^2}$.

Since $u^{-3/2}$ is a decreasing function for $u \in [s^{-2/3}, \infty)$, and $(d/du)(u \pm v) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the second intermediate value theorem and Lemma 7 imply that

$$|J_1| \leq \sup_w \left| \int_{s^{-2/3}}^w e^{i(u+v)} du \right| + \sup_w \left| \int_{s^{-2/3}}^w e^{i(u-v)} du \right| \leq 16.$$

For $u \in [2, s^{-2/3}]$ the function $s^{-1} u^{-3/2} \sin v$ is decreasing since

$$\frac{d}{du} \frac{\sin v}{u^{3/2}} = \left(v \cot v \frac{u^2}{u^2 - m^2 t^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\sin v}{u^{3/2}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\sin v}{u^{5/2}} \leq 0,$$

noting that $v \cot v \leq 1$, where $v \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. By the second intermediate value theorem, this implies

$$|J_2| = \left| \int_2^{s^{-2/3}} s^{-1} u^{-3/2} \sin u \sin v du \right| \leq 2.$$

Finally, it is trivial that

$$|J_3| = \left| \int_{mt}^2 \frac{\sin u \sin s \sqrt{u^2 - m^2 t^2}}{u^{1/2} su} du \right| \leq \int_0^2 u^{-1/2} du = 4.$$

Combining these estimates gives $|I(t, \mathbf{x})| \leq ct^{-1/2}$ when $t \in (0, 1/m]$. ■

As Marshall, Strauss, and Wainger have shown [7], with further work one can remove the logarithmic term from the statement of Lemma 2 and the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2.

REFERENCES

1. R. ABRAHAM AND J. MARSDEN, "Foundations of Mechanics," Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1978.
2. J. BAEZ, Scattering and complete integrability in conformally invariant nonlinear theories, *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990), 757-762.
3. J. BAEZ AND Z. ZHOU, Analyticity of scattering for the ϕ^4 theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 124 (1989), 9-21.
4. P. BRENNER, On scattering and everywhere defined scattering operators for non-linear Klein-Gordon equations, *J. Differential Equations* 56 (1985), 310-344.
5. C. FEFFERMAN AND E. STEIN, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137-193.
6. B. MARSHALL, Mixed norm estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation, in "Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund," Vol. 2, pp. 638-649, Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983.
7. B. MARSHALL, W. STRAUSS, AND S. WAINGER, $L^p - L^q$ estimates for the Klein-Gordon equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 59 (1980), 417-440.

8. C. MORAWETZ AND W. STRAUSS, Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **25** (1972), 1–31.
9. C. MORAWETZ AND W. STRAUSS, On a nonlinear scattering operator, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1973), 47–54.
10. P. RACZKA AND W. STRAUSS, Analyticity properties of the scattering operator in nonlinear relativistic classical and prequantized field theories, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **16** (1979), 317–327.
11. I. SEGAL, Quantization and dispersion for nonlinear relativistic equations, in “Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles” (R. Goodman and I. Segal, Eds.), pp. 79–108, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1966.
12. W. STRAUSS, Everywhere defined wave operators, in “Nonlinear Evolution Equations” (M. Crandall, Ed.), pp. 85–101, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
13. W. STRAUSS, Nonlinear scattering theory at low energy, *J. Funct. Anal.* **41** (1981), 110–133.
14. P. TOMAS, A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1975), 477–478.
15. A. ZYGMUND, “Trigonometric Series,” p. 197, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.